



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

May 13, 2004

Mr. Kevin W. Cole
Cole & Powell
400 West 15th Street, Suite 304
Austin, Texas 78701

OR2004-3928

Dear Mr. Cole:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 201489.

The Travis County Sheriff's Civil Service Commission (the "commission"), which you represent, received a request for a certain grievance file pertaining to a specified sergeant of the Travis County Sheriff's Department. You state that the commission has made some of the requested information available to the requestor. You claim, however, that the remaining requested information, or portions thereof, is excepted from disclosure pursuant to sections 552.101, 552.102, 552.103, 552.108, and 552.1175 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and have reviewed the submitted information.

You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.103 of the Government Code. Section 552.103 provides in part:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure

under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code, § 552.103(a), (c). The commission maintains the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the governmental body receives the request for information, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *See University of Tex. Law Sch. v. Texas Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.--Austin 1997, no pet.); *see also Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.--Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). The commission must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a).

You indicate, and provide documentation showing, that the submitted information relates to litigation involving the commission as a party that was pending on the date that the commission received this request for information. Based on your arguments and our review of the submitted information, we, thus, agree that section 552.103 of the Government Code is applicable to the submitted information. We note, however, that generally once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Thus, information that has either been obtained from or provided to the opposing party in the pending litigation is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.103(a) and it must be disclosed. Further, the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has been concluded. *See* Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982). In this regard, we note that the entirety of the submitted information has either been obtained from or provided to the opposing party in the pending litigation. Accordingly, we conclude that the commission may not withhold any portion of the submitted information under section 552.103 of the Government Code.

You also claim that the submitted information, or portions thereof, is excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.102 of the Government Code. Section 552.102 excepts from disclosure "information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." Gov't Code § 552.102(a). Section 552.102(a) is generally applicable to information relating to a public official or employee. *See* Open Records Decision No. 327 at 2 (1982) (anything relating to employee's employment and its terms constitutes information relevant to person's employment relationship and is part of employee's personnel file). In *Hubert v. Harte-Hanks Texas Newspapers*, 652 S.W.2d 546 (Tex. App.—Austin 1983, writ ref'd n.r.e.), the court ruled that the test to be applied to information claimed to be excepted from disclosure under section 552.102 is the same as the test formulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* for information claimed to be protected from disclosure by the common-law

right to privacy as incorporated by section 552.101 of the Government Code.¹ *See also Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 683-85 (Tex. 1976), *cert. denied*, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). Accordingly, we address the commission's sections 552.101 and 552.102 claims together.

Information is protected from disclosure by the common-law right to privacy when (1) it is highly intimate and embarrassing, such that its release would be highly objectionable to a person of ordinary sensibilities, and (2) there is no legitimate public interest in its disclosure. *See id.* The type of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *See id.* at 683. This office has since concluded that other types of information also are protected from disclosure by the common-law right to privacy. *See Open Records Decision Nos. 659 at 4-5 (1999)* (summarizing information attorney general has determined to be private), 470 at 4 (1987) (illness from severe emotional job-related stress), 455 at 9 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps), 343 at 1-2 (1982) (references in emergency medical records to a drug overdose, acute alcohol intoxication, obstetrical/gynecological illness, convulsions/seizures, or emotional/mental distress). Prior decisions of this office have also found that financial information relating only to an individual ordinarily satisfies the first requirement of the test for common-law privacy, but that there is a legitimate public interest in the essential facts about a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body. *See, e.g., Open Records Decision No. 600 (1992)* (information revealing that employee participates in group insurance plan funded partly or wholly by governmental body is not excepted from disclosure).

After carefully reviewing your arguments and the submitted information, we find that no portion of this information is protected from disclosure by the common-law right to privacy. Accordingly, we conclude that the commission may not withhold any portion of the submitted information under either section 552.101 or section 552.102 of the Government Code on the basis of the common-law right to privacy. *See Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987)* (public employee's job performance does not generally constitute his private affairs), 455 (1987) (public employee's job performances or abilities generally not protected by privacy), 444 (1986) (public has legitimate interest in knowing reasons for dismissal, demotion, promotion, or resignation of public employees), 423 at 2 (1984) (statutory predecessor applicable when information would reveal intimate details of highly personal nature), 405 at 2 (1983) (manner in which employee performed his job cannot be said to be of minimal public interest), 400 at 5 (1983) (statutory predecessor protected information only if its release would lead to clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy).

¹ Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision. *See Gov't Code § 552.101.*

Additionally, you claim that the submitted information, or portions thereof, is excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.108 of the Government Code. Section 552.108 provides:

(a) Information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from [required public disclosure] if:

(1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime;

(2) it is information that the deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication;

(3) it is information relating to a threat against a peace officer collected or disseminated under Section 411.048; or

(4) it is information that:

(A) is prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation; or

(B) reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state.

(b) An internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution is excepted from [required public disclosure] if:

(1) release of the internal record or notation would interfere with law enforcement or prosecution;

(2) the internal record or notation relates to law enforcement only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication; or

(3) the internal record or notation:

(A) is prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation; or

(B) reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state.

(c) This section does not except [from public disclosure] information that is basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime.

Gov't Code § 552.108. Generally speaking, subsections 552.108(a)(1) and 552.108(b)(1) are mutually exclusive of subsections 552.108(a)(2) and 552.108(b)(2). Subsection 552.108(a)(1) protects information, the release of which would interfere with a particular pending criminal investigation or prosecution, while subsection 552.108(b)(1) encompasses internal law enforcement and prosecution records, the release of which would interfere with on-going law enforcement and prosecution efforts in general. In contrast, subsections 552.108(a)(2) and (b)(2) protect information that relates to a concluded criminal investigation or prosecution that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication. Subsection 552.108(a)(3) is applicable to information collected or disseminated under section 411.048 of the Government Code. Subsections 552.108(a)(4) and 552.108(b)(3) are applicable to information that was prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation or that reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state.

We note that a governmental body that claims an exception to disclosure under section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why this exception is applicable to the information that the governmental body seeks to withhold. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977); Open Records Decision No. 434 at 2-3 (1986). In this instance, you assert that “[c]ertain documents requested by the requestor contain . . . information that related to the investigation of crimes . . . [t]his information was obtained by the [c]ommission solely in connection with the disciplinary matter at issue which may not yet have come to a final conclusion.” After carefully reviewing your arguments and the submitted information, we find that the commission has failed to adequately demonstrate how or why any aspect of section 552.108 is applicable to any portion of the submitted information. Accordingly, we conclude that the commission may not withhold any portion of the submitted information under section 552.108 of the Government Code.

We note, however, that portions of the submitted information are excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code. Section 552.117(a)(2) excepts from disclosure the home address, home telephone number, and social security number of a peace officer, as well as information that reveals whether the peace officer has family members, regardless of whether the peace officer complies with sections 552.024 or 552.1175 of the Government Code. Section 552.117(a)(2) adopts the definition of peace officer found at article 2.12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. *See* Crim. Proc. Code art. 2.12. Based on our review of the submitted information, we conclude that the commission

must withhold the portions of the submitted information, which we have marked, pursuant to section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code.²

Finally, we note that e-mail addresses that are contained within the remaining submitted information are excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.137 of the Government Code. Section 552.137 provides:

(a) Except as otherwise provided by this section, an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body is confidential and not subject to disclosure under this chapter.

(b) Confidential information described by this section that relates to a member of the public may be disclosed if the member of the public affirmatively consents to its release.

(c) Subsection (a) does not apply to an e-mail address:

(1) provided to a governmental body by a person who has a contractual relationship with the governmental body or by the contractor's agent;

(2) provided to a governmental body by a vendor who seeks to contract with the governmental body or by the vendor's agent;

(3) contained in a response to a request for bids or proposals, contained in a response to similar invitations soliciting offers or information relating to a potential contract, or provided to a governmental body in the course of negotiating the terms of a contract or potential contract; or

(4) provided to a governmental body on a letterhead, coversheet, printed document, or other document made available to the public.

(d) Subsection (a) does not prevent a governmental body from disclosing an e-mail address for any reason to another governmental body or to a federal agency.

² Because we base our ruling regarding this particular marked information on section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code, we need not address your section 552.1175 claim.

Gov't Code § 552.137. Section 552.137 requires a governmental body to withhold certain e-mail addresses of members of the public that are provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with the governmental body, unless the members of the public with whom the e-mail addresses are associated have affirmatively consented to their release. Section 552.137 does not apply to a government employee's work e-mail address or a business's general e-mail address or web address. E-mail addresses that are encompassed by subsection 552.137(c) are also not excepted from disclosure under section 552.137. We have marked the e-mail addresses that are excepted from disclosure under section 552.137(a). Unless the commission has received the affirmative consent of the individuals with whom these e-mail addresses are associated for their release, the commission must withhold these addresses pursuant to section 552.137(a) of the Government Code.

In summary, the commission must withhold the portions of the submitted information, which we have marked, pursuant to section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code. Unless the commission has received the affirmative consent of the individuals with whom the marked e-mail addresses are associated for their release, the commission must withhold these addresses pursuant to section 552.137(a) of the Government Code. In any event, the commission must release the remaining submitted information to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one

of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Ronald J. Bounds
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

RJB/krl

Ref: ID# 201489

Enc. Marked documents

c: Ms. Shelly Wilkison
c/o Mr. Kevin W. Cole
Cole & Powell
400 West 15th Street, Suite 304
Austin, Texas 78701
(w/o enclosures)